

Dear Commissioners;

I am writing today to ask you to reconsider the proposal released on 7/7/04 to require all broadcast stations to record their programming-MB Docket 04-232. As the Executive Director and General Manager of three non-commercial stations with a Contemporary Christian format, I can tell you that this will be adding additional work to an already thin and stretched staff, working on a fixed budget. Much of our financial resources come from donations from listeners.

I do understand the reasoning for the proposal, however I believe it is intended for stations that approach their audience with a much different style of broadcasting from us. We do not tolerate foul language of any sort, nor encourage our staff to look for the envelope and dance on the edge of it. We encourage our staff to seek to encourage, inform and entertain in a way that is uplifting to the audience, not degrade or demean them or speak in a way where there might be double meanings for words or making sexual references to get the audience to laugh.

As you know, it would help if there were some sort of standard format. We would probably be required to acquire at least 3 large computers or more that could record and store the data and then be sure to remove material after an assigned time period. As it is, just trying to keep up with the laws that currently cover our broadcast entities requires a lawyer and constant monitoring of what is happening on Capitol Hill. Finding storage for this information as well as the program logs and transmitter logs that we currently produce and save, is another burden that we don't look forward to.

As I took the time to review the proposal, in discussion point 6, I believe that those individuals that choose to file a LOI that for the most part they would be able to give you enough information in a general statement that would help you determine if enforcement action were warranted. For stations that choose to push the edge of indecency and objectionable broadcast material, I would say, yes, go forward with this proposal and require this action. For stations such as ours and others that are "Religious" in nature, I believe that it is an unnecessary burden that would probably never be needed. I would think that the number of complaints for objectionable and indecent material would have to be close to nil for those stations with a "Religious" format.

In reading through the proposal, I don't believe that I saw anything that indicated what we were to do with the stored material, other than hold on to it. In discussion point 7 of the proposal it is noted that this would be a way to enforce sponsorship identification limits. It is my understanding that we must as a non-com have the sponsorship of any programs listed in a file within our public file. This is currently being done at our stations and if we were to have to record all our programming, I would think that this obligation could then be removed from the current public file requirements.

As for 1st Amendment Issues, cited in discussion point 10 of the proposal, I believe that broadcasters should be accountable for what airs on their stations. Indecency and profanity do not belong on stations that are licensed for public consumption. I am

appalled at what some stations are doing and can't believe the public outcry is at times non-existent.

I thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion and trust that you will make the choice that is in the best interest of the people and the broadcast industry.

Know that you are in our prayers.

Paul Cameron
Executive Director/General Manager
WEMI/WEMY/WGNV
Christian Family Radio
1909 W. Second St.
Appleton, WI. 54914

ChristianFamilyRadio.net